

Geoengineering and the Planetary Movement for Mother Earth

CLAUDIA VON WERLHOF

Ce texte est basé sur un discours prononcé au Symposium sur la science et la spiritualité en 2013 Allemagne. Il expose le problème encore inconnu de la géo-ingénierie militaire comme l'ont révélé les recherches de Rosalie Bertell et essaie de discréditer la thèse officielle que le CO₂ n'est pas responsable du chaos grandissant dans le monde. Au contraire, il cache les conséquences de 70 années de développement suite à l'intrusion de cette nouvelle guerre. Pour une meilleure compréhension de ce problème, cet article prône une analyse théorique écoféministe et critique patriarcale de ce que nous connaissons à date.

This article is based on a speech given at a large Symposium on Science and Spirituality in Germany in 2013. It addresses and tries to inform the public of the still unknown issue of military "geoengineering," as described in Dr. Rosalie Bertell's research, and tries to make clear that the officially propagated thesis of CO₂ as the reason behind the growing climate chaos in the world is not true. It is instead hiding the consequences of 70 years of development of a new military geo-warfare, applied internationally. For a better understanding of the issue the article tries to include an ecofeminist and patriarchy critical theoretical analysis of the facts we know so far.

Introduction

"It is not Greenhouse Gases—it's the military!" Dr. Rosalie Bertell stated emphatically when she was interviewed in 2010 at the thirtieth anniversary of the Right Livelihood Award (an international award that recognizes and honours those offering practical and exemplary answers to the most urgent challenges facing us today), which Dr. Bertell herself

received in 1986. After her book about the dangers of low nuclear radiation to our health, *No Immediate Danger: Prognosis for a Radioactive Earth*, released in 1985, she published *Planet Earth: The Latest Weapon of War* in 2001, which offers an analysis of post-**WWII** military technologies in the fields of nuclear and post-nuclear weaponry. Today we call these technologies "geoengineering." There is, nevertheless, a lot of confusion about geoengineering that Dr. Bertell tried to clarify until she died in 2012. I have assumed the mantle left behind by Dr. Bertell, and in 2010, founded the "Planetary Movement for Mother Earth" in Germany/Austria (www.pbme-online.org).

We have continued to try and inform the public about the background and history of so-called "geoengineering," which today is suddenly promoted officially as "civil" geoengineering, called upon to "save the earth" from "climate change" supposedly resulting from greenhouse gas emissions. Decades of secret military geoengineering, however, are the actual cause of the planetary crisis we are currently confronting. And this fact, as proved by Dr. Bertell, has to be made clear everywhere and very urgently. The application of geoengineering methods on a planetary dimension, under a civil cover, is going to make things much worse and can eventually lead to the extinction of even more life on earth. The hidden interests of the military-industrial-complex to take control of the planet at a macro-dimensional level must, therefore, be taken into account. The public, nevertheless, has nowhere been informed about the background of geoengineering and continues to be made to believe that CO₂ is the cause of everything that is identified as a problem on our planet today.

The following is from the text of speech on geoengineering that I gave at the Congress, “Borders of Horizon: Science Meets Spirituality,” held in Heidenheim, Germany, on September 7, 2013.

Speech

I hesitated to accept the invitation to this symposium, as I cannot offer you a message that will please you. I do not think that the synthesis of contemporary science and

d) We are plants in spring and wish to grow, but the sun cannot reach us because of the dense and broad stripes of artificial sprayings and clouds in the sky, and because we are hit by aluminium, barium, and strontium which gives us a shock. And though the sun will reach us some time later in the year it will bring ultraviolet rays, and possibly also cosmic gamma- and x-rays, penetrating the air weakened by the loss of the protective ozone. And these rays burn the already diminished blossoms, leaves and fruits....

Men attack and destroy nature and all its elements, even the entire earth in her “life systems” (as Rosalie Bertell called them) as if they want to oust life from the earth, the only planet on which we can live, in all its material abundance. For men accept the destruction — they participate in it in great numbers, or look the other way.

spirituality will eventually lift the earth and our consciousness to a higher level.

On the contrary! Modern science and spirituality, as they are being practised, already form a synthesis inasmuch as spirituality turns a blind eye on the crimes committed by science. Without a radically different science and a radically different spirituality, a synthesis of the two is not at all worth striving for. Therefore, we first need to develop a vision of the way that science and spirituality would have to radically change in order to form a fruitful alliance.

In more concrete terms, we are talking about the modern science of geoengineering and the Planetary Movement for Mother Earth, which was founded three years ago (in 2010) and presently has 730 members. Our aim is to start a public discussion about the globally practised and planned destructive methods and procedures of the military, of politics, economics, science, and technology (as seen in the declaration of the Planetary Movement for Mother Earth).

Proposal: We change the perspective from which we look upon the earth:

- a) We are trees in the Amazon approached by the motor saw.
- b) We are plankton in the Pacific Ocean off the east coast of Japan. Hundreds of thousands of tons of highly radioactive cooling water from the devastated complex of reactors in Fukushima are flowing into the ocean.¹
- c) We are a field in the blue of the planet, and the electromagnetic bundle of rays of the ionospheric heater called HAARP in Alaska is starting to bombard us, cutting the atmosphere like a knife.

What we see from this perspective is that men attack and destroy nature and all its elements, even the entire earth in her “life systems” (as Rosalie Bertell called them), as if they want to oust life from the earth, the only planet on which we can live, in all its material abundance. It is as if men have lost their ability to think, which they are so proud of, as well as their ability to feel. Many of us have lost every sense of responsibility, every affection, compassion, caring, let alone love for nature and the planet as such. For men accept the destruction — they participate in it in great numbers, or look the other way. What has happened to them? From the perspective of nonhuman life, we experience that “the ones up there” put at stake life, the earth, and everything that lives on it. As human beings, we experience that there is no official public discussion about it. On the contrary, this discussion is suppressed everywhere, and those who bring up the issue are defamed as people conjuring up a “conspiracy theory,” or as lunatics who get in the way of progress, the good things and development. What they, who are responsible for what is going on, are aiming at is that, officially, nobody notices and points at the destruction of our planet, but that the destruction, paradoxically, is to be considered as something good and fitting! We seem to need the “sacrifice” to move this civilization “forward.” But where to?

Allegedly, the destruction is followed by the new creation of something better, even higher, and is therefore justified. Everything else is defined as sentimentality. For the soil, the tree, water, and the food chain, light and air, are considered “mere matter” and not as living beings in their own right, which are of importance to the earth. And the earth, as such, is but a lump of dead matter to the

perpetrators, or “The ones up there”—at least the involved scientists, engineers, politicians and media, the military, the military-industrial-complex, and “capital”—think in purely anthropocentric ways, with man ranking highest and everything else below, at his disposal. The patriarchal project of the dominance over nature, of its destruction and transformation, has earned them a lot of money and vested them with even more power. This is the why this project is so popular with them, and why it has even become the universal religion of modernity. Today this “alchemical” project of “creation through destruction”—as I call it, *because it is a creation that is supposed to be “better” though being made out of the destruction of the existing*—is no longer to be restricted to the micro-dimension of life (the atom, the gene, and the nano-dimension of living matter). It is also to conquer the macro-dimensions of life as well: the earth, our planet itself, and to change her into the colony of man.

This is geoengineering in its broadest meaning: the attempt to subjugate the entire planet to the control, manipulation, and destructive transformation of the ruling class, using already existing and yet-to-be-invented means with the aim of turning the planet into an allegedly better one, namely into a subservient mega-machine.

As Mother Earth does not go along with this plan, she is demonized as “evil nature,” a kind of mega-witch, which has to be tortured and violently changed in order to become “good nature”—a mega-machine—for the Sirs.

For the perpetrators and “winners,” only life in the sense described above is positive, i.e., only if it behaves the way *they* want it! (Referring to its historical background, it is important to know that geoengineering developed after the construction and launch of the atomic bomb in 1945, a massive physical experiment, and one in which none of the scientists involved knew if it would cause an electromagnetic shock that could well have destroyed life on the entire planet. This risk was allowed to be taken! Nobody can, therefore say anymore that it comes as a surprise when I talk about the hubris of geoengineering. It is clearly in accordance with the psychopathy of “the ones up there”).

What is Geoengineering, and What Does It Do?

For about 70 years, namely since the end of World War II, the militaries in the East and the West have been developing technologies, which the North American scientist Dr. Rosalie Bertell, refers to as “weather war, plasma weapons and geoengineering.” Her book, *Planet Earth: The Latest Weapon of War*, led to the foundation of Planetary Movement for Mother Earth. We translated the book into German and updated it by adding recent

material. Bertell states: “What they are planning now are climate and weather wars, wars in which earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, flooding and droughts, hurricanes and monsoon rains play a role” (2013a: 57).² Much of this was applied for the first time in the Vietnam War, “when cloud-seeking techniques were used ... to prolong the monsoon season and block enemy supply routes along the Ho Chi Minh Trail” (Chossudovsky). This is why the UN drafted its ENMOD (Environmental Modification Techniques) Convention in 1977, which read: “Under no circumstances should earthquakes, tsunamis, a disruption of the ecological balance of a region, changes of the weather, including the formation of clouds, cyclones, tornadoes, (and) climate change, changes of the oceanic currents, changes of the ozone layer, as well as of the condition of the ionosphere” be caused for military or hostile reasons. This was written 36 years ago. Most of us, nevertheless, have been ignoring this decades-long development, though the technologies described above have been feasible for a long time, can be applied, and are indeed being applied right now. However, the UN has not ruled out the peaceful application of such already-existing technologies. Hence, it was possible for the USA to build the radar unit called HAARP (High Frequency Active Auroral Research Program) in the 1990s in Alaska, which is the largest so-called “Ionospheric Heater” in the world. Indeed, HAARP claims to be a peaceful plasma physics research project—on the basis of official U.S. patents concerning the findings of Nikola Tesla (Tesla invented the use of artificial electromagnetism). In 1999, the European Parliament called for a closer examination of HAARP, a demand that was turned down by the EU Commission on the grounds that HAARP was a military project devoid of European influence. Proof enough that this is not a civil, but a military project.

Hence, this issue has not been not tackled all these years. However, in April 2013, one new attempt was made. In the European Parliament a meeting was organized, entitled “Beyond Theories of Weather Modification: Civil Society versus Geoengineering.” On this occasion, 48 activists (I was one of them) from all over Europe gathered to debate the latest findings on this topic.

At our stall, we had a petition inviting participants to join the protest of the organization called “Skyguards” (the members of which are independent activists as well as former European parliamentarians), which organized the meeting and was proposing that the issue of geoengineering be also officially dealt with in the European Parliament. For, as Bertell says, “If the military meddles with air, water and soil we have to be informed about it, as these are our vital resources” (2013a: 49f).

Geoengineering concerns us already: bio-chemical spraying, called “chemtrails” by the military, has been

practised in military and civil aviation both in Europe (since the '90s) and in the U.S. (since the 1980s) and has intensified since. It pollutes the lower atmosphere with millions of tons of aluminium, barium, strontium, viruses, gene- and nano-particles as well as polymers, which do damage to air, water, soil, and living beings. Field crops are suffering, and we are also faced with the fact that, after years of spraying, organic farming will no longer be possible. The consequences are deficiency symptoms on the one hand, and the inability of the body

Wood, and David Keith and Ken Caldeira, the most famous scientists of present civil geoengineering).

The use of the technology of geoengineering, at least in the form of spraying for Solar Radiation Management, has been common practice for decades already, obviously for very different purposes. (And we are not yet speaking of earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, draughts, floods, and tsunamis, to mention only some of the effects caused by geoengineering as the UN defines it in the ENMOD Convention of 1977).

Bio-chemical spraying, called “chemtrails,” has been practised in military and civil aviation both in Europe (since the '90s) and in the U.S. (since the 1980s) and has intensified since. It pollutes the lower atmosphere with tons of aluminium, barium, strontium, viruses, gene- and nano-particles as well as polymers, which do damage to air, water, soil, and living beings.

to discharge the metals on the other. We breathe in all these metals in the form of nano-particles which reach all our organs, including the heart and the brain, leading to memory decline, Alzheimer's disease, pulmonary diseases, the “morgellons” disease (the result of inhaling micro-fibers, not yet recognized as a disease), autism and unknown synergistic effects, in particular between barium and aluminium. The intake of aluminium (and eventual nano-sensors) makes humans also susceptible to HAARP activities. Their purpose is so-called mass mind-control (control of our thoughts and feelings) through the use of electromagnetic extreme low frequency (ELF) waves. Another general purpose is the conductive function for EM waves of artificial clouds containing metals, or the ability of sprayings to conduct storms (allegedly Hurricane Sandy in New York). This can be classified as extreme weather manipulation. In view of the bio-chemical contamination—or rather bio-chemical warfare—the multinational enterprise Monsanto has already developed heat- and aluminium-resistant GMO-seeds. So, the use of “Solar Radiation Management” (SRM), namely the spraying of the atmosphere with aerosols, particles meant to dim the sunlight and avoid the excessive warming of our planet, supposedly caused by the emission of CO₂ (carbon dioxide), is not something that is only planned for the future (as emphasized by Bill Gates, one of its main promoters). It is exactly this “spraying of the atmosphere”, as explained above that has already been taking place for decades (Murphy). Academic discussions by civil geoengineers, however, suggest that it is merely a project for times to come. (Among these scientists are Edward Teller, the inventor of the thermonuclear bomb, his disciple Lowell

In the meantime the UN has intervened again. In 2010, it proposed a moratorium against geoengineering drafted at the environmental conference in Nagoya. It, nevertheless has never declared itself against the military, though, as Bertell comments already about the nuclear geoengineering experiments in the atmosphere of the '50s and '60s of the past century: “We allowed nuclear bombs to be set off in the sky before we even knew what the sky was and what it did to protect the Earth's biosphere” (Bertell 2001: 70). And: “Long term effects of this incredible destruction that occurred before the protective functions of the Van Allen belts was understood, have never been declassified” (Bertell 2013b: 34). According to Bertell, the earth has become a “victim of military research” (Bertell 2013b: 38) or a victim of “military alchemy,” as I call it.

In general, scientists get down to business by means of “trial and error,” as is the case in other areas of science as well, i.e., they try something out (usually leading to destruction) and observe what happens. This is what Francis Bacon, the famous founder of modern science in the seventeenth century, has called the “scientific method” in all fields of the so-called domination of nature. You cannot test geoengineering in the laboratory, but only in a planetary outdoor test, as was the case with the atomic bomb explosion as well. Thus, scientists, geoengineers, are taking enormous risks with the health and the life systems of the planet, and nobody is impeding them. Bertell argues that the earth has been turned into a weapon of war by manipulating her own forces, e.g. her own electromagnetic fields, artificially intensified, so that they become “plasma weapons” that can be fired back to earth via the ionosphere. The ionosphere would in this case function as

a “gun barrel.” This act of war can cause immense damage to us, and indeed to the earth herself. Tesla discovered this 100 years ago (see his term “Magnifying Transmitter”; see Bertell 2013a: 454ff). This is the way that so-called “natural” disasters can be produced, and which we have been experiencing ten times as many of, since the seventies (as estimated by Bertell). It means that today we no longer know which of the ongoing disasters that have recently, or are currently taking place, are natural and which are artificially induced.

to increasing amounts of cosmic radiation. Ozone holes have been developing under which life is dying.

All these factors together, in particular the military experiments that have been going on for decades, and not merely civilian CO₂ emissions, are causing the climate and weather changes we are experiencing today. Bertell never ceased to point to the principal importance of the activities of the military in this respect. (The already-known effects coincide, by the way, with the time lag of nature, which can take 40 to 60 years to respond to human activities.) The

As a result of the degradation of the protective atmospheric layers, the earth is exposed to increasing amounts of cosmic radiation. Ozone holes have been developing under which life is dying. All these factors together, in particular the military experiments that have been going on for decades, are causing the climate and weather changes we are experiencing today.

It is, of course, very difficult to prove if a “natural” disaster has been caused artificially. So far, we have only been able to analyze parallel HAARP activity and watch plasma stripes similar to parts of auroras or rainbows in the sky that become visible on the path of EM waves through the atmosphere being heated that way. Such a stripe was seen when the huge earthquake struck in Haiti in 2010 (Bertell 2013a: 61), resulting in a quarter of a million fatalities. In sum: The era in which the planet is said to be under human control is called the anthropocene, the era of humankind. It will not last long, as we can already see.

The damage that has already been done (inasmuch as we know about it) is indicating how they are indeed “wrecking” our planet, as Bertell calls it (see, also, Mc Donald). Bertell found out that the rotation of the earth has slowed down; the earth “wobbles” like a spinning washing machine (which can be the effect of so called “Deep Earth Penetrating Tomography,” sending ELF waves through the core of the earth). The magnetic field of the earth, the Van Allen Belts, have been damaged; the ionosphere, the upper layer of the atmosphere, has got holes and incisions, also caused by rockets and supersonic flights, and is increasingly heated up by bombardment with EM waves. Presently there are dozens of ionospheric heating and radar facilities worldwide. The latest of them, called a Mobile User Objective System (MUOS), a narrowband military communications satellite system that supports a worldwide, multi-service population of users in the ultra high frequency band is just being built (MUOS 2013/2015) in Sicily (seemingly not for the use of ELF, but for high frequency EM waves to guide drones etc.) (Gruss). As a result of the degradation of the protective atmospheric layers, the earth is exposed

de-icing of the Arctic is also the result of its bombardment with ELF and EM waves by the Soviet Union and the U.S. together (cf. the 1974 secret treaty of Vladivostok, part of Salt II, see Ponte 1976, 134-139; Bertell 2013a, 445). This may even lead to an accelerated pole shift that has already begun, and a rupture of the Gulf Stream (which has been weakened already), causing the northern hemisphere to freeze over.

Some oil companies in Russia and in the U.S. have already profited from melting the ice in order to exploit the mineral resources below. For intercontinental transport, on the other hand, they will soon no longer need to use the Panama and/or the Suez canal any more.

Hence, an above-average warming of the Arctic is not only the result of climate change caused by CO₂ emissions, as we are constantly made to believe. The troposphere where the CO₂ gathers, for instance, has not changed much as opposed to the ionosphere further up which is bombarded by “ionospheric heaters” like HAARP. An ozone hole has been developing over the Arctic. According to Bertell, the radioactive fallout of the 2011 Fukushima nuclear disaster led to this first ozone hole ever at the North Pole. So it is not only the CFCs, but also radioactivity (together with EM, supersonic flight, and rockets) that really harm the ozone layer. If we do not pay attention to all this, we will very soon be told to swallow geoengineering as the solution to the problems caused by geoengineering itself—and we may even consent.

All these weather modifications may also lead to great difficulties in agriculture. We can already witness signs of these problems in Africa, Australia, and the U.S. In 2013, as a result of sky and weather manipulations lasting for

months, Europe faced enormous crop losses in a broad corridor from Spain to Moscow. There was no actual spring, and it was followed by floods (leaving behind toxic sediments) and eventually, tropical heat and drought for weeks. Here is another proof: the weather derivatives at the stock exchanges are making huge profits. Yes, there is already speculation with weather manipulation. And this is no conspiracy theory. They are doing business and making huge profits with the destruction of the earth and life on it.

In conclusion, geoengineering comprises various technologies that can be applied simultaneously. They all lead to warlike conditions on our planet, to militarization on a global scale, and to a so-called “weaponization” of all our life conditions as such. The U.S. Air Force has played the most important role in weather manipulation (U.S. Air Force). Their Navy, on the other hand, has killed hundreds of thousands of whales and dolphins with their under-water weapons experiments (Phillips).

However, we are far from aware of everything that is taking place. Nor are we aware of the speed at which the experiments and their harmful consequences increase, as more and more governments and militaries all over the world are applying these technologies. Therefore the general public doesn't know what is happening, how these experiments are carried out, either mutually-supportive or countering one another, what their synergetic effects are, and to what extent they have already done irreversible damage to the biosphere or are about to do so.

Bertell warns us:

Unfortunately, waiting for these weapons to be employed in order to then be able to better understand them will mean the end of our civilization and our life. Our research must be ahead of the threats instead of limping behind. Chemtrails are the attempt of biological and chemical warfare. What they are dumping on us now might only be a pre-taste of what is actually planned. (2011)

She suggests parting with the secrecy surrounding military activities and installing acknowledged International Courts of Integrity with the purpose of replacing war by peaceful dispute settlements for all times in the future.

On the other hand, Bertell also acknowledges efforts that are aimed at the abolition of further nuclear threats. She, nevertheless, also asks whether those who are negotiating these agreements are aware of Telsa's research about a potential split of the planet into two parts, or about the possibility of the delicate equilibrium between the earth and the sun, between the moon and the sun, and the possibility of the earth and the moon being destroyed. This would catapult the earth into the sun or into outer

space. According to Bertell, these are very real, very possible outcomes of weather wars for which at least four nations are already preparing (2013a: 32).

Tesla, who had also developed technological alternatives (cf. “free energy”) thought such weapons would never be used because of their extremely dangerous effects for the whole of humanity and the planet, and thus that we would approach the end of war. Today he would be appalled.

The new kinds of “weather wars,” which need not be declared and can be staged clandestinely (as stated by U.S. presidential advisor McDonald as early as 1968), can be witnessed everywhere. The Fukushima nuclear disaster might even be a result. The “weather wars” are consistent with Bertell's insight that the “military is always 50 years ahead of the rest of us” and that “the military never uses the same weapons in a war as in the previous one” (48). Hence, there is no way in which a planetary consciousness or spirituality can be integrated into this kind of eco- and geo-terrorism called science. However, we cannot look the other way and ignore all this. We need to enter into the dispute on two fronts at a time, dealing with both the current situation and its tendencies, and the much needed alternative.

What else can be done?

Claudia von Werlhof, was born in 1943 in Berlin, Germany. She is an economist, sociologist and political scientist, and since 1988, Full Professor for Women's Studies and Political Science at the University of Innsbruck, Austria. She has conducted empirical research in Latin America, is the co-founder of International Women's Studies, the Bielefeld School, an activist against neoliberal globalization, and founder of “critical theory of patriarchy,” a new paradigm in science. She is also the founder of the “Planetary Movement for Mother Earth” (www.pbme-online.org), and co-founder of BOOMERANG—Journal for the Critique of Patriarchy, available on www.fipaz.at.

¹I have just learned that the radioactivity at this point has reached a level that would kill a person exposed to it within four hours: 2.200 mil. Sievert! (*Die Welt*, 4.9.2013).

²All quotes from Rosalie Bertell are taken from *Kriegswaffe Planet Erde* (2013a); *Planet Earth*, “Slowly Wrecking Our Planet,” and personal emails from Rosalie Bertell to Claudia von Werlhof.

References

- Bertell, Rosalie. *No Immediate Danger: Prognosis for a Radioactive Earth*. London: The Women's Press, 1985. Print.
- Bertell, Rosalie. Email to Claudia von Werlhof, 27.1.2011